

OmniView™

Innovations L.L.C.

Mr. Carl Sonny Emerson, Inventor, Developer, Consultant
 (303) 857-4837 * (303) 880-1432 cell PO Box 860 - Fort Lupton, Colorado 80621
semerson@RadialOmniView.com www.RadialOmniView.com

All current incarceration designs uniformly contain varying degrees of dangerous Structural Blind-Spot - ENVIRONMENTS OF OPPORTUNITY.

The new and revolutionary OmniView™ incarceration design features DIRECT VISUAL OBSERVATION via ZERO STRUCTURAL BLIND-SPOTS and uniquely meets the No.1 Purpose and the newly released PREA Standards of the new Federal P. L. 108-79 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) of 2003

"(1) establish a zero - tolerance standard for the incidence of prison rape in prisons in the United States" and Initial PREA Standards released May 5, 2008. "(2) Central to inmate safety is continuous sight and sound supervision of all inmates".

The new DVD archived 100% Staff & Inmate Surveillance design renders the 8,700 U.S. prisons, detention centers, and jails as functionally obsolete.
 Patented No. US 6,360,494 • Copyrighted 1999-2008

ZERO STRUCTURAL BLIND-SPOTS **OMNI VIEW™**
 PATENTED US 6,360,494

256 bed
 68 bed
 324 bed

- ★ safest staff
- ★ safest inmates
- ★ 100% archived surveillance
- ★ 50% fewer staff

Six classification areas, all separated by sight and sound. Each area has separate, secure outdoor recreation & work access.

OmniView™ Innovations (OVI) MISSION STATEMENT: (cse 2007)

"It is unacceptable for any Correctional Officer, Inmate or Detainee to ever be unsafe. It is also unacceptable for any Inmate, having once served time, to ever repeat the process. By any lawful means necessary, OVI will reduce recidivism by one-half and then prove it with a seven year \$3M Private Sector Recidivism Study in place on opening day for 4,250 Inmates."

WHY PAY PRIVATE FOR PROFIT PRISON COMPANIES BILLIONS OF DOLLARS WHEN IT IS POSSIBLE FOR PRISONS/DETENTION CENTERS/JAILS TO PAY FOR THEMSELVES

Ten conditions would have to be present for a governmental (any federal agency, city, state, county, parish, town, province or North American Indian Tribe) corrections, detention center or jail to generate an annual surplus of funds after all expenses for design, license, facility, staff, training, insurance, food, supplies and all other recurring items are paid.

1. The facility would have to be designed/built larger than the immediate governmental need;
2. The excess space would have to be provably safer than any other leased inmate facility;
3. The project recidivism rate would have to be provably lower than any other facility;
4. The industrial product the inmates produce for sale must be valuable enough to incorporate Davis Bacon union type wages for inmates including the cost of training for them to perform at real world comparative levels;
5. The inmates/detainees would be required to pay \$10 per day **recidivism reduction retention desistance deposit** (R3D2 where if they go three years without any arrest, anywhere for anything they receive double their Trustee escrowed money back as a thank you for not recidivating and thus become stakeholders in the anti-recidivism model);
6. The inmate's salary would also provide monies for \$10 per day rent, providing relief for referring agencies; Court Ordered Restitution; Court Ordered Victim Assistance; Family Support; Inmate Medical Insurance; Savings for Release Day; Inmate Commissary and Taxes;
7. The facility design would have to provide superior 100% surveillance with less than 50% of traditional designs duty stations and less than 50% of traditional duty station staff (over thirty years staff costs are 80% of the total cost);
8. The facility would have to be designed/built with the maximum efficiency of solar, grass roofs, Solar Aquatics Systems waste water treatment and other energy saving systems;

CCA Spent \$2.5 million on Lobbying Federal Government in 2007

Mon, 03/03/2008 - 17:03 — Bob



Via the [T. Don Hutto blog](#), [Forbes](#) has an article detailing that **Corrections Corporation of America**, operator of many private prisons in Texas including the T. Don Hutto family prison, **spent a whopping \$2.5 million lobbying the federal government in 2007**. This amount is on top of the **\$180,000-\$235,000 that CCA spent lobbying in Texas in 2007**.

According to the article, the lobbying came in three major areas: 1) lobbying to **privatize the Bureau of Indian Affairs prison system**, 2) lobbying against the [Public Safety Act](#) which would outlaw private prisons, and 3) lobbying against the [Private Prison Information Act](#) which would give the public the same access to private prison information as public prisons.

In addition to lobbying Congress, CCA lobbied the **Bureau of Indian Affairs**, the **Department of Homeland Security**, the Department of Justice and other federal agencies. Homeland Security and DOJ are big targets for private prison corporations because they hand out lucrative federal detention contracts, which have become a [boom industry for private prisons](#) as the immigration law becomes more punitive.

The article goes on to list some of CCA's lobbyists who include such well-heeled folks as:

- **Bart VerHulst**, previously **chief of staff for former Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist, R-Tenn.**;
- **Mike Quinlan**, former director of the **Federal Bureau of Prisons**; and
- **Gus Puryear**, previously counsel to Frist and an **adviser to Vice President Dick Cheney**.